

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -102)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,559 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.
Jul 11, 2019
CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-102 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>FLORIDA SOUTHERN</b>			
FLS	2	19-14204	St. Lucie County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
<b>ILLINOIS SOUTHERN</b>			
ILS	3	19-00682	People of the State of Illinois et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
<b>NEW YORK EASTERN</b>			
NYE	2	19-03800	<del>The City of Auburn v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al</del> Opposed 7/10/19
<b>NEW YORK WESTERN</b>			
NYW	6	19-06490	<del>The City of Rochester v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al</del> Opposed 7/10/19
<b>PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE</b>			
PAM	3	19-01055	Hanover Township, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
PAM	4	19-01039	County of Lycoming v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
<b>TEXAS SOUTHERN</b>			
TXS	4	19-02181	<del>Rockwall County, Tx v. CVS Health Corporation</del> Opposed 7/3/19
TXS	4	19-02256	<del>Ellis County v. Walgreens Boots Alliance, Inc. et al</del> Opposed 7/3/19
<b>VIRGINIA EASTERN</b>			
VAE	2	19-00331	City of Portsmouth v. McKesson Corporation et al